

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,423	12/12/2003	Fan Zhang	CS03-026	3913
7590 06/30/2006			EXAMINER	
GEORGE O SAILE			ANDUJAR, LEONARDO	
28 DAVIS AVENUE POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
		DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/734,423	ZHANG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Leonardo Andújar	2826		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	A <i>pril 2006</i> .			
Pa) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·	• •		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdies</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2,5 and 7 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in a prity documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/04.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/734,423

Art Unit: 2826

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the subject matter of claims 1-7 is sufficiently related that a thorough and complete search for the subject matter of the elected claims would necessarily encompass a thorough and complete search for the subject matter of the non-elected claims. This is not found persuasive because referring to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action sent on 03/14/2006, it clearly shows that the alternative method proposed by the examiner would be distinct from the process claimed. Additionally, the search is not coextensive as evidenced by the different fields of search for the process and product as cited in the previous restriction requirement. Furthermore, Applicant has not provided a convincing argument that the materially different processes would not be suitable in producing the claimed device. Note that the unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II invention. Thus the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,423

Art Unit: 2826

3. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lbnabdeljali et al. (US 6,365,958) in view of Mori (US 6,992,392).

Page 3

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Ibnabdeljalil (e.g. fig. 7A-7c) show a method for 4. creation of an ring 105 surrounding a guard ring 104 of a semiconductor die, comprising: providing a substrate 60 comprising semiconductor devices (col. 1/lls. 1-10) being accessible by points of electrical contact (e.g. contact 28 in fig. 2b; col. 5/lls. 20-30, 61-65; col. 6/lls. 44-50); provided in or over the substrate, the semiconductor devices comprising a semiconductor die 71 by being surrounded by a guard ring 104; creating at least one level of interconnect metal 104 (inner 104) with corresponding interconnect vias over the substrate aligned with the points of electrical contact; creating a surrounding the guard ring with a ring 105 comprising a trench filled 76, and located over the lateral surface area of the semiconductor die; and singulating the substrate into semiconductor die by sawing along sawing pathts (col. 1/lls. 14-30). Ibnabdeljalil does not teach that the ring 105 is made of oxide. Nevertheless, Mori (e.g. fig. 5) teaches a method that includes a protection ring 22 made of oxide (col. 4/lls. 5-65). Also, this type of embodiment may prevent wiring deformation in addition to suppress negative effects of the of compression forces (col. 4/lls. 25-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the ring 105 disclosed by Ibnabdelijalil of oxide to prevent wiring deformation in addition to suppress negative effects of the of compression forces as taught by Mori.

5. Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ibnabdeljali et al. (US 6,365,958) in view of Mori (US 6,992,392) further in view of Lee et al. (US 6,488,113).

Page 4

6. Regarding claims 4 and 6, Ibnabdeljalil in view of Mori teaches most aspects of the instant invention including a oxide ring made of silicon oxide but does not teach that the silicon oxide is an undoped silicon glass (USG). Nevertheless, Lee teaches that silicon oxide can be produced as undoped silicon glass (col. 1/II, 67-col. 2/II, 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the silicon oxide ring disclosed by Ibnabdeljalil in view of Mori of USG as suggested by Lee to provide be an enhanced structural stability.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2, 5 and 7 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonardo Andújar whose telephone number is 571-272-1912. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon through Thu from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,423

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leonardø/

Art Unit 2826

Page 5